

RESEARCH HIGHLIGHTS

December 2003

Technical Series 03-131

THE CANADIAN RESIDENTIAL DUCT AND CHIMNEY SURVEY

The research described in this Highlight took place in 1989, but it has never been released in a summary form. This Highlight has been written for distribution now because the findings of the research project are still valid and can still contribute to the understanding of residential duct and chimney performance.

Introduction

The installed flow and thermal performance of ducts and chimneys in housing is not well known. Field surveys by Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation (CMHC) have revealed that many fans, furnaces and fireplaces are not working as intended, leading to problems such as insufficient airflow and backdrafting of combustion gases. This project was initiated to achieve a better understanding of the nature and extent of such problems by examining the current operation of ventilation systems, ducts and chimneys in Canadian houses.

Research program

Testing was conducted using the Duct Test Rig (DTR), a device for measuring airflows and heat losses in ducts and chimneys. The DTR, which was designed and developed as part of an earlier project, has a powerful fan and an adjustable orifice mounted in a portable flow chamber. By means of multiple attachments, the flow chamber can be easily fitted to different types of ventilation supply and exhaust openings. By adjusting the speed of the DTR fan, the flow and induced pressure through the ventilation device can be recorded.

Houses in the five major populated regions of the country were tested. Within each region, two communities were selected (Vancouver and Kelowna; Winnipeg and Calgary; Toronto and London; Montréal and Québec City; and Halifax and Fredericton). One community was heavily populated, while the second community was chosen to represent the greatest difference from the first in terms of climate, style of house and fuel type. Twenty houses were tested in each of the 10 communities. In addition, five houses were tested in Ottawa. A public advertising campaign was used to find houses for possible inclusion in the study. An attempt was then made to match the houses selected for testing to a statistical profile of housing types (year of construction, number of storeys, fuel type, etc.) in the area to ensure the test houses were representative of the housing stock.

To accomplish the survey in a timely manner, a different research team was used in each part of the country. To ensure consistency of methodology, the field crews were trained and supervised by a Field Research Manager. A Field Manual that presents the Test Protocol in a step-by-step fashion was developed for the crews to follow.



Results

Exhaust fans

Exhaust fans tested in the survey included four basic types: bath, kitchen, clothes dryer and central vacuum (but only if exhausted outside and not into a basement). The object in measuring exhaust fans in the field was to provide a clear indication of how performance is influenced by fan age, accumulations of dust, grease, bugs, etc., and installation practices. All the data collected during the survey was condensed and organized into database files. A statistical summary of the average airflow of exhaust fans is presented in Table 1.

	Airflow under standard conditions (L/s)	Airflow with -10 pa pressure (L/s)	Average flow loss/gain due to leakage
Bathroom fans	17.2	14.4	5.78 L/s loss
Kitchen fans	58.5	51.5	12.6 L/s loss
Dryers	37.6	38.3	N/A
Vacuums	23.9	N/A	17.6% loss / 18.2% gain

Table 1—Average airflows of exhaust fans

The vast majority of bathroom fans were ceiling mounted units with diameters of 75 to 100 mm (3 to 4 in.) Tremendous variations existed in the duct lengths. Although the industry assumes axial fans are much less powerful than centrifugal fans, the database showed no statistical difference between the fan types. Houses in Montréal appeared to have lower flows for bathroom fans, possibly due to the community's predominantly older housing stock. Flows from bathroom fans varied greatly with changes in hood pressures. A number of problems were identified with bathroom fans, including blocked ducts, non-functioning fans, improper venting, and two cases where bathroom fans exhausting through attics terminated short of the roof and were generating huge mold patches. The low flows, poor response to static pressure, high leakage rates and generally poor condition of bathroom fans suggests that huge numbers of bathroom fans across Canada should be replaced or serviced.

A large standard deviation and the extremes in airflow recorded emphasize the variety of kitchen fans present in existing homes. Kitchen fans were sometimes blocked at the inlet by grease from cooking—often as much as 75 per cent of the free area was blocked. Exhaust flows for kitchen fans were greatly influenced by the condition of the backdraft damper.

Dryers were tested "as found," without any attempt to clean filters or adjust temperature settings. Average airflow for dryers was more consistent than other

types of exhaust devices, but the installed flows were significantly less than the 75 L/s typically specified by manufacturers. While many dryers were extremely old and decrepit, they still seemed to exhaust and perform well. No check was done to see the impact of filling the dryer with clothes on the amount of exhaust flow.

Flows for vacuums were very consistent. Most vacuums were tested without a hose attached. However, in two cases a hose was attached and it was found that attaching a hose had a significant impact, decreasing flows by 25 to 40 per cent, respectively. Vacuum dust collection drums were often at least half full during tests, but no testing

was done to determine the impact of a full drum on system flow. Air was lost or gained depending where the leaks occurred—in the ducting or at the vacuum unit. Noise of the vacuums was often a complaint. Installers might consider using a larger duct for exhausting vacuum cleaners to cut down on velocity noises.

Chimneys

Chimneys, including site-built masonry (metal-lined, tile-lined or unlined), prefabricated B-vents and insulated metal chimneys were tested by disconnecting the appliance from the vent and connecting the DTR in place of the appliance. By adjusting the speed of the DTR fan, the flows through the chimney system were recorded for different positive hood pressures. These flows were used to calculate the "free area" of the vent, using the following equation:

$$\text{Free Area (cm}^2\text{)} = \text{Flow (L/s at 10 Pa)} \times 4$$

This equation is roughly equivalent to the CGSB Standard 149.10 M86, for calculating Equivalent Leakage Area (ELA) values. The calculated free area was then compared against the total physical area of the vent opening and a percentage reduction in area (due to chimney restrictions) could then be calculated.

To measure the airflow lost through leakage in the vent connector, the vent connector was disconnected from the vertical chimney and plugged. Airflow was then measured at a hood pressure of 50 Pa. A similar process was used to measure leakage in a vertical chimney; the chimney top was bagged, while the DTR measured airflow at the base.

To measure the thermal characteristics of a chimney, the DTR was fitted with a hood containing a 1 kW duct heater. The heater was operated for a five-minute period

while continuously recording flows and temperature at the vent connection, vent to chimney junction and chimney exit. Voltage and amperage draw of the DTR fan and heater were used to calculate the heat input. The flow in the chimney was allowed to increase normally while maintaining a constant heat input. The thermal test data was used to calculate the "characteristic length" of each chimney; this is a property of a chimney related to its thermal losses at steady-state conditions.

A statistical summary of test results for chimneys is presented in Table 2.

Flows through chimneys varied depending on the type and area of the flue and the presence of a cap. More detailed statistics are required to expose the impact of these variables. Wood stoves were noted to be much more restricted by creosote than fireplace or furnace chimneys, and some very long flue connectors were noticed on wood stoves. It was difficult to plug chimneys on wood stoves for a leakage test so these results are not available. When inspecting fireplaces prior to testing, field crews almost always discovered fireplace dampers left open. Tile liners were also observed to be installed in a haphazard fashion, with many gaps, which weaken the structure and collect creosote.

Heating systems

Simple statistical summaries of the test results for heating systems are presented in Table 3.

The low duct efficiency (only 39.2 per cent of heat is delivered to the registers) is attributable to duct leakage, radiation losses and restrictive ducts and registers (register grilles were typically found to be very restrictive, reducing the boot area by 50-65 per cent). No single problem predominated. The longer the run, the greater

the losses in airflow and heat. A number of houses were tested that had two or more furnace systems, which permitted more centralized duct layouts; these houses showed very good duct efficiency.

Most heating ducts had 125 mm (5 in.) diameters, although a few had diameters of 75 and 100 mm (3 in. and 4 in.). The boots of heating ducts appear to account for the majority of leakage since they are not taped at the joints. In some cases, a single house would have more than 10 different varieties of boots and registers.

Only five per cent of the housing stock, at the time of testing, appeared to have passive fresh air ducts. Far more frequently, fresh air ducts are connected to return air plenums and operate as an "active" air supply system whenever the furnace blower operates. Such ducts were termed "passive" and included in the passive duct sample.

Implications for the housing industry

The results of this project have been used in a number of applications. The surveyed flow rates of fans, dryers and central vacuums have been used for calculations of total house exhaust capacity, and to predict house depressurization levels. The high leakage area of heating ducts has been cited frequently, usually to account for poor performance and level of control in Canadian forced air heating systems.

	Reduction in free area (%)	"Characteristic length" (m)	Airflow loss due to Leakage at 50 Pa (L/s)
Furnaces	10.2	7.8 (tile-lined masonry)	7.3
Wood stoves (A-vent)	N/A	25.0	6.5
Fireplaces	22.6	20.2	N/A

Table 2—Calculated results for chimneys

Heating systems	Average total airflow delivered to registers	258.4 L/s
	Firing rates of furnaces	27.8 kW
	Total heat delivered to registers	10.9 kW
	Percentage heat delivered to registers	39.2%
Heating ducts	Characteristic length of duct	22.3 m (73.2 ft.)
Passive ducts	Airflow at 50 Pa	12.9 L/s

Table 3—Calculated results for heating systems and ducts

Housing Research at CMHC

Under Part IX of the National Housing Act, the Government of Canada provides funds to CMHC to conduct research into the social, economic and technical aspects of housing and related fields, and to undertake the publishing and distribution of the results of this research.

This fact sheet is one of a series intended to inform you of the nature and scope of CMHC's research.

Project Manager: Don Fugler

Research Report: *The Canadian Residential Duct and Chimney Survey*, 1989, 62 pages.

Research Consultant: Sheltair Scientific Ltd.

To find more Research Highlights plus a wide variety of information products, visit our Web site at

www.cmhc.ca

or contact:

The Canadian Housing Information Centre
Canada Mortgage and Housing Corporation
700 Montreal Road
Ottawa ON K1A 0P7

Telephone: 1 800 668-2642
FAX: 1 800 245-9274
chic@cmhc-schl.gc.ca

OUR WEB SITE ADDRESS: www.cmhc.ca

Although this information product reflects housing experts' current knowledge, it is provided for general information purposes only. Any reliance or action taken based on the information, materials and techniques described are the responsibility of the user. Readers are advised to consult appropriate professional resources to determine what is safe and suitable in their particular case. CMHC assumes no responsibility for any consequence arising from use of the information, materials and techniques described.